Major purposes

(and not "rumors" and doubts)

I 1. Support official "lone assassin - no conspiracy" line
II 2. Put down "critics", lumping all together
III 3. Justify itself & largest investigative appropriation in Congressional history by pretending it has "new evidence" and ~~or~~ with petty criticism of federal agencies & Warren Commission

1. A Never considered anyone other than LHO as assassin & pretended search for possible co-conspirators - check reports, House speeches, other statements
B. Never investigated the crime in pattern of ~~earlier~~ earlier official investigations
  1) No investigation of planting "magic" bullet
    a) never raised any question of absence of mutilation - mentioned only deformity
  2) No investigation of (rarely mentioned) "missed" shot
    a) Has not even spoken to Jim Tague slightly wounded
    b) No mention missing curbstone "spectro" plate - (This is totally new & never published - learned in lawsuit)

separate future story - with pictures.

    c) No mention curbstone analysis does not hold all ingredients of bullet - only 2 - & these could have come from auto wheel weight.
    d) No NAAs on copper alloy jacket material of bullet, which own expert said is superior for testing purposes - DJ paid for study
    e) Ignored disappearance of Q-15 windshield sample
    f) Did not report other specimens not identical with FBI/Warren ones - Guinn in after testimony. Did not ask Guinn any questions about these differences

4)

C. Fabricated its own new "Whitewash" to ~~cover~~ cover up and knowingly protected and used false testimony

1.) Basic corpus delicti testimony forensic pathologist Michael Baden - who lied

A. Said no evidence JFK's shirt collar + tie were cut by any instrument

1.) Dr. Charles ~~[illegible]~~ Carrico swore to this before W.C + confirmed it to me in interview

B ~~1.)~~ Said collar damage, tie damage & "exit" hole front neck coincide - false

1) Referred to only one of two holes in collarband in this connection:

a. They do not coincide or overlap

b.) Are not at point tie knot pinches

2. ~~c)~~ Did not report inspection of knot after court ordered pix of it taken for me in CA2569-70

3. Cannot say whether tie + collar damage do or even can coincide with no knot to examine

C. Covered Humes false testimony on entry point fatal head shot

1) Humes told W.C at occiput

a) Autopsy photograph puts 4" higher up in scalp

(Baden + committee both avoided. He testified before committee, which never showed him photograph)

D. Said X-rays show no metal in Connally chest - false

1) Dr. Shaw, in charge of Connally case, testified chest metal shows in post operative X-ray - WW + 6H101 (Ignored this bullet hitting this bone & that rib did not.)

E. "Enhanced" X-rays used to hide evidence
  1) Does not show 40 dust-like fragments
F. Said Commission did not have autopsy pix & X-rays – had both
  1. Sec. D. statement on X-rays
  2. Ex. Sess. on pix
G – Pretended Bobby Kennedy withheld them
  1.) ~~Dy~~ Commission never asked
  (Specter memo in Post Mortem)
2) Basic science in evidence – Guinn / NAAs incomplete & he was often not truthful
  A- Testified to "results" even though he knew specimens not identical & at ~~[illegible]~~ least one did not exist when he examined the vial
    1) Did not question whether he was given fakes
      a) One possibility is from base of 399 which is not accounted for / spell out details
    2) No copper-jacket testing although he testified copper is one of 3 best elements for NAA
  B. Testified untruthfully about
    1. His knowledge of when FBI did NAAs
    2. How information first became available
    3. When/how he first knew of FBI's NAAs
      a.) Gallagher memo
      b) His Nat Eng. Consultancy – did he ever file info after being paid?
  C. No reference to spectrographic analyses

4/

II Critic put-down & and rumor & doubts (overlaps with III - no "new evidence" - all of which comes from critics, esp my work)
Use Preyer on Today Show & Post interview & refer to number of times in hearings "The critics say" and use of Groden as representing all critics
Called critics in to theorize for them - I alone refused

III Examples of its "new" evidence
- A. Entry head wound 4" higher than WC said
  - 1) From my Post Mortem
- B) Non-fatal wound on back not neck
  - 2) from my WW & Post Mortem
- C) Nervous reaction shows in Zap film
  - 1) Whitewash is source, not Alvarez, who got info from students who read book (Alvarez paid by ERDA)
- D) Frame 190 as first hit - WW
- E) JFK hit before 210 - at 202
  - 1) Whitewash II
  - 2) Uses same means of establishing
    - a) Willis 5 R
    - b) Zap
    - c) Shoulder as reference point in pictures
- D) But - from 6th floor window - at 2/190 tree blocked shot, which they suppressed.

IIII Actually has avoided bringing anything new to light while ~~fost~~ pretending otherwise

A. What it used as "new" re Cuba Castro had put on in international conference

B. 201 file from Nat Enquirer story (Bill Dick & wrong - put in Nat Enq. used in facsimile)

C. Published records of Church Committee

D. Am Losh - Wash Post & Schweiker sub-committee of Church Committee

E. Tapping Cuban Embassy phones - Balt. News American - ask for [illegible] Lonnie

6)

Pictures

1) Shirt collar - If Fig. didn't use I have. I gave it print
2) knotted tie - it shows tie was cut off
3) Shirt front show how that also was cut open
4) Shirt back - my print - to show how far down bullet hole is

Stole idea of not using scope from Castro (I have been saying it for years but he actually explained it to them - I have copies of transcript

Ford on articles lying, distorting & withholding to his own Mind when he took, edited & sold it. see transcript & eliminated all incriminating to FBI & CIA
Archives confirming memo - never published